STATE OF FLORIDA DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

LS MOTORSPORTS, LLC, AND)	
MICHAEL J. KONCZAL, INC.,)	
)	
Petitioners,)	
)	
VS.)	Case No. 08-3783
)	
SEMINOLE SCOOTERS, INC.,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	

RECOMMENDED ORDER

On February 11, 2009, an administrative hearing in this case was held in St. Petersburg, Florida, before William F. Quattlebaum, Administrative Law Judge, Division of Administrative Hearings.

APPEARANCES

For Petitioner LS MotorSports, LLC:

(No appearance)

For Petitioner Michael J. Konczal, Inc:

Michael Konczal, <u>pro se</u>
Michael J. Konczal, Inc.
1801 Twenty-Eighth Street, North
St. Petersburg, Florida 33715

For Respondent: David Dubin, <u>pro</u> <u>se</u>
Seminole Scooters, Inc.
6227 Park Boulevard
Pinellas Park, Florida 33781

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

The issue in the case is whether an application for a motor vehicle dealer license filed by LS MotorSports, LLC, and Michael J. Konczal, Inc., should be approved.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

By notice published in the Florida Administrative Weekly
(Volume 34, Number 29; July 18, 2008), the Department of Highway
Safety and Motor Vehicles (Department) gave notice that
LS MotorSports, LLC (LS MotorSports), was seeking to establish a
new point motor vehicle dealership in St. Petersburg, Florida,
with Michael J. Konczal, Inc., for the line-make "Chunfeng
Holding Group Co. Ltd.(CFHG)." A challenge to the establishment
of the dealership was filed with the Department by an existing
motorcycle dealership, Seminole Scooters, Inc. (Respondent).

By letter dated July 31, 2008, the Department forwarded the challenge to the Division of Administrative Hearings. On August 1, 2008, an Initial Order was issued, directing the parties to identify the anticipated length of the hearing and dates upon which the parties were available. The Respondent filed a response to the Initial Order, requesting that the hearing be scheduled in St. Petersburg, Florida, and the hearing was scheduled accordingly. The case was transferred to the undersigned Administrative Law Judge on January 29, 2009.

At the hearing, Petitioner, Michael Konczal, testified on his own behalf. The Respondent's representative testified on behalf of the Respondent. No exhibits were offered into evidence.

No transcript of the hearing was filed. The Respondent filed a Proposed Recommended Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. LS MotorSports is seeking to establish a new point motor vehicle dealership in St. Petersburg, Florida, for line-make Chunfeng Holding Group Co. Ltd.(CFHG).
- 2. The Respondent is an existing franchise motor dealer for line-make Chunfeng Holding Group Co. Ltd.(CFHG) and is located within 12.5 miles of the proposed new point motor vehicle dealership location. The majority of the Respondent's vehicle sales come from within a 12.5-mile radius of the proposed dealership.
- 3. The Respondent timely filed a protest of LS MotorSports' proposed dealership.
- 4. There is no evidence that the Respondent is not providing adequate representation within the territory of the motor vehicles at issue in this proceeding.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 5. The Division of Administrative Hearings has jurisdiction over the parties to and subject matter of this proceeding. §§ 120.569 and 120.57, Fla. Stat. (2008).
- 6. Subsection 320.642(2)(a), Florida Statutes (2008), provides as follows:

An application for a motor vehicle dealer license in any community or territory shall be denied when:

- 1. A timely protest is filed by a presently existing franchised motor vehicle dealer with standing to protest as defined in subsection (3); and
- 2. The licensee fails to show that the existing franchised dealer or dealers who register new motor vehicle retail sales or retail leases of the same line-make in the community or territory of the proposed dealership are not providing adequate representation of such line-make motor vehicles in such community or territory. The burden of proof in establishing inadequate representation shall be on the licensee. (Emphasis supplied)
- 7. The licensees in this case are Petitioners, LS Motorsports and Michael J. Konczal, Inc. <u>See</u> §§ 320.60(8) and 320.61, Fla. Stat. (2008).
- 8. As the licensees, the Petitioners have the burden of establishing compliance with applicable statutory requirements by a preponderance of the evidence presented at the hearing.

 § 320.642(2)(a)2., Fla. Stat. (2008). The Petitioners have

failed to establish that the Respondent is not providing adequate representation of the line-make Chunfeng Holding Group Co. Ltd.(CFHG).

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of
Law, it is RECOMMENDED that the Department of Highway Safety and
Motor Vehicles enter a final order denying the application for
establishment of the motor vehicle dealer franchise at issue in
this case.

DONE AND ENTERED this 5th day of March, 2009, in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.

William F. Qvattlebown

WILLIAM F. QUATTLEBAUM
Administrative Law Judge
Division of Administrative Hearings
The DeSoto Building
1230 Apalachee Parkway
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3060
(850) 488-9675
Fax Filing (850) 921-6847
www.doah.state.fl.us

Filed with the Clerk of the Division of Administrative Hearings this 5th day of March, 2009.

COPIES FURNISHED:

Michael James Alderman, Esquire Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles Neil Kirkman Building, Room A-432 2900 Apalachee Parkway Tallahassee, Florida 32344

Mathu Solo LS Motorsports, LLC 10215 South Sam Houston Parkway West Suite 100 Houston, Texas 77071

Michael Konczal Michael J. Konczal, Inc. 1801 Twenty-Eighth Street, North St. Petersburg, Florida 33715

David Dubin
Seminole Scooters, Inc.
6227 Park Boulevard
Pinellas Park, Florida 33781

Carl A. Ford, Director Division of Motor Vehicles Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles Neil Kirkman Building, Room B-439 2900 Apalachee Parkway Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0500

Robin Lotane, General Counsel Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles Neil Kirkman Building 2900 Apalachee Parkway Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0500

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUBMIT EXCEPTIONS

All parties have the right to submit written exceptions within 15 days from the date of this Recommended Order. Any exceptions to this Recommended Order should be filed with the agency that will issue the Final Order in this case.